



12-09-04

AP/2673
IrwPractitioner's Docket No. 56800 (46547)
PATENT**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Hirashi NAGATA, et al.
Application No.: 10/024,065 Group No.: 2673
Filed: December 18, 2001 Examiner: Lewis, David Lee
For: ACTIVE MATRIX SUBSTRATE, DISPLAY DEVICE AND METHOD FOR
DRIVING THE DISPLAY DEVICE

Mail Stop: Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER
TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
(37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.191)**

NOTE: An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, at 53,167; 1203 O.G. 63, at 93 (Oct. 10, 1997).

NOTE: There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, at 53,167; 1203 O.G. 63, at 94 (Oct. 10, 1997).

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed 13 July 2004, finally rejecting claims 1-8 and 10-21.

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING/TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service
"Express Mail Post Office to Addressee": service
under 37 CFR 1.10 (Express Mail Label No.
EV 437818955 US) and is addressed to the Mail
Stop: Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for
Patents, P.O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-
1450.

FACSIMILE

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and
Trademark Office (703) ____-____.

Kathryn A. Grindrod
Signature

Kathryn A. Grindrod
(type or print name of person certifying)

Date: December 7, 2004

12/10/2004 AWONDAF1 00000083 041105 10024065

01 FC:1401 340.00 DA
02 FC:1252 430.00 DA

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board--page 1 of 4)

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

1. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of

- ☒ other than a small entity.
☐ a small entity.

A statement:

- ☐ is attached.
☐ was already filed on _____.

2. FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. Section 1.17(b), the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is:

- ☐ small entity \$170.00
☒ other than a small entity \$340.00

Notice of Appeal fee due \$340.00

3. EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of Section 1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 apply.

- (a) ☐ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. Section 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
<input type="checkbox"/> one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> two months	\$ 430.00	\$215.00
<input type="checkbox"/> three months	\$ 980.00	\$490.00
<input type="checkbox"/> four months	\$1,530.00	\$765.00

Fee \$ 430.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

- (a) ☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.
Extension fee due with this request \$ _____

or

- (b) ☐ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

4. TOTAL FEE DUE

The total fee due is:

Notice of Appeal fee \$ 340.00
Extension fee (if any) \$ 430.00

TOTAL FEE DUE \$ 770.00

5. FEE PAYMENT

- ☐ Attached is a check in the sum of \$ _____
☒ Charge Account No. 04-1105 the sum of \$ 770.00.
A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

6. FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.

[X] If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Account No. 04-1105.

AND/OR

[X] If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 04-1105.

Date: December 7, 2004

David A. Tucker
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No. 27,840

David A. Tucker
(type or print name of practitioner)
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Tel. No.: (617) 517-5508

Edwards & Angell, LLP
P.O. Box 9169
P.O. Address

Customer No.: 21874

Boston, MA 02209

469287